Western Mareotis lake(s) during the Late Holocene (4th century BCE–8th century CE): diachronic evolution in the western margin of the Nile Delta and evidence for the digging of a canal complex during the early Roman period
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Abstract: Lake Mareotis (modern Mariut), located near the Mediterranean coast of Egypt west of the Nile Delta, is bordered by ancient sites dating from the New Kingdom (end of the 2nd millennium BCE) to the Medieval period (8th century CE), the most famous one being Alexandria. In its western part (wadi Mariut), several sites are equipped with harbour structures, but they also have structures contemporary with them that are not compatible with the lake level required for the operation of the harbour. Between the 1990s and 2010, several sedimentological studies tried to solve this paradox without completely succeeding. To go further, this study is based on the reassessment of geoarchaeological data and on the analysis of early scholars’ accounts (1800–1945), maps (1807–1958) and satellite photographs (Corona). It allows us to reconstruct the extension of the lake(s) at different periods in wadi Mariut. During the 1st millennium BCE, the Mariut lagoon experienced a drawdown in its western part, and several distinct lakes formed, followed by building operations in some emerged areas during the Hellenistic period (332–30 BCE). During the early Roman period (30 BCE–284 CE), the digging of several canals in the 2nd century CE to connect the sites of the wadi Mariut to the eastern part of the Mariut basin reconfigured the lake(s).

Kurzfassung: Der Mareotis-See (mod. Mariut), nahe der ägyptischen Mittelmeerküste, westlich des Nildeltas, liegt an antiken Siedlungen, die vom Neuen Reich (Ende des zweiten Jt. v. Chr.) bis ins Mittelalter (8. Jh. n. Chr.) datieren; die berühmtesten ist Alexandria. In seinem westlichen Teil (Wadi Mariut) sind mehrere Siedlungen mit Hafenanlagen angelegt, enthalten aber auch zeitgenössische Gebäude, die mit dem Seespiegel unvereinbar sind und die für das Funktionieren der Häfen in Betracht gezogen werden müssen. Zwischen den 1990er Jahren und 2010 wurden mehrere Studien, die sich auf die Sedimentologie stützten, auf diese Frage angewandt, ohne dieses Paradox vollständig zu lösen. Um noch einen Schritt weiter zu gehen, stützt sich diese Studie auf die Neubewertung geoarchäologischer Daten, und...
Lake Mariut, ancient Mareotis (Fig. 1), is a lagoon that polarised the human occupation of Egypt’s north-western margins during antiquity. The region developed mainly after the foundation of Alexandria in 332 BCE (Fraser, 1972), although earlier occupation is archaeologically evidenced since the New Kingdom at Plinthine (Boussac et al., 2015; Boussac and Redon, 2021) and Kom Bahig (Empereur, 2018). Agricultural lands and towns, such as Taposiris on the northern shore (Boussac, 2015) and Marea (Pichot, 2012) on the southern one, flourished until the Arab-Muslim conquest, which was followed in the 7–8th centuries CE by a re-occupation of the territory and the abandonment of many settlements (Décobert, 2002). During all periods, the human occupation of the region has been closely linked to the lake and its environments (Décobert, 2002). During all periods, the human occupation of the region has been closely linked to the lake and its environments (Décobert, 2002).

If one focuses on the wadi Mariut (western part of the Mariut basin; Fig. 1), the presence at some Hellenistic and/or Roman sites of harbour structures close to remains that are incompatible with the vicinity of a lake (e.g. amphora workshops) and dating back to the same periods constitutes a geoarchaeological paradox. How can this paradox be solved? Did the lake levels shift at high frequency during this period? Have several independent lakes of small extent coexisted in the Mariut basin? Should a major water engineering work be considered?

This geoarchaeological study, undertaken within the French mission at Taposiris Magna and Plinthine (dir. M.-F. Boussac and then B. Redon), aims to assess, through a composite method, the western extension of the Mareotis lake(s) in wadi Mariut from the 4th century BCE to the 8th century CE.
period (30 BCE–284 CE) to the Arab-Islamic conquest (mid-7th century CE), increasing harbour and economic development (including wine export) (Décobert, 2002; Blue and Khalil, 2011; Boussac and El-Amouri, 2010; Dzierzbicka, 2018; Pichot and Simony, 2021). The Hellenistic and Roman periods are characterised by structures occupying areas that are very close to the current shores of the lake (or even in the present lake) and located at altitudes much lower than the remains of other periods, suggesting that the lake levels were lower during these periods or that the geometry of the lake was different. This has been demonstrated at Taposiris and on Mariut island (Blue and Khalil, 2011; Flaux, 2012).

2.3 Previous geomorphological and geoarchaeological studies

2.3.1 Holocene history of the Mariut lagoon

The calcarenite ridge separating the Mariut depression and the Mediterranean Sea, as well as the ridge delimiting the wadi Mariut to the south, predate the Holocene (El Asmar and Wood, 2000) and have durably limited the extension of the lagoon. The Holocene shifts of the lagoon were therefore mainly conditioned by the balance between sea level variations, subsidence, and the Nile’s liquid and solid inputs, the latter being determined by climatic conditions and human activities in its watershed.

During the Holocene, the evolution of the Mariut region (Table 1) has been established by the work of Clément Flaux mainly thanks to data from the eastern part of the Mariut but also from Taposiris and from the southern bank of the wadi Mariut (Flaux et al., 2011; Flaux, 2011, 2012). From the early Roman period (30 BCE–284 CE), the evolution of the lagoon has been linked to the filling in of the Canopic branch (Fig. 1; Bernard, 1970; Hairy and Sennoune, 2009) and to the sinking of its deltaic lobe below sea level around the 8th century CE (Stanley et al., 2001).

2.3.2 A geoarchaeological paradox

This general environmental pattern cannot be directly applied to the wadi Mariut; on the one hand, subsidence is strong in the eastern part of the Mariut depression but very weak in wadi Mariut (Pennington et al., 2017), and on the other hand, marine and Nilotic water inputs both originate from the east (Fig. 1). Moreover, in wadi Mariut, Pleistocene outcrops are not uncommon and the Pleistocene–Holocene boundary is generally close to the topographic surface, so less sedimentation occurred during the Holocene (Flaux, 2012).
Table 1. Holocene evolution of the Mariut region based on Flaux et al. (2011) and Flaux (2011, 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Main causes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>∼ 9000–∼ 7000 BCE</td>
<td>Coastal deltaic plain periodically flooded by the Nile</td>
<td>Nile Delta progradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∼ 6000–∼ 5500 BCE</td>
<td>Lagoon sedimentation</td>
<td>Holocene marine transgression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∼ 5500–∼ 2800 BCE</td>
<td>Lagoon closed to marine influences</td>
<td>Nile Delta progradation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∼ 2800–∼ 1200 BCE</td>
<td>Marine influence and hydrological instability</td>
<td>Climatic aridification and reduced Nile flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∼ 1000 BCE–∼ 200 CE</td>
<td>Lagoon more subject to the Nilotic influence than to the maritime one and anthropogenic disturbances</td>
<td>Canopic branch defluviation and anthropogenic activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∼ 200–∼ 800 CE</td>
<td>Brackish lagoon dominated by nilotic inputs</td>
<td>To be determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>∼ 800–∼ 1200 CE</td>
<td>Sebkha</td>
<td>Nile defluviation and canal siltation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sedimentological and physico-chemical analyses have been interpreted as evidence of a large extension of the Mariut lagoon westward between ∼ 4000 BCE and ∼ 1200 CE even beyond the present limits of the lake (Warne and Stanley, 1993). A generally high water level in antiquity has also been assumed for Taposiris (Tronchère, 2010; Tronchère et al., 2014).

A lot of ancient harbour infrastructure has been prospected (Blue and Khalil, 2011) but also Hellenistic and Roman remains submerged or located close to the current shores of the lagoon, including amphora workshops (Empereur and Picon, 1998; Flaux, 2012). Their underground kilns make them incompatible with their proximity to the lake and high water levels. However, the operation of the few ports and sites bordering the lake studied in more detail implies relatively high water levels, for the Roman period at least, for example at Taposiris (Boussac and El-Amouri, 2010) or on Mariut island (Flaux, 2012).

The archaeological remains and the environmental data from wadi Mariut thus present a geoarchaeological paradox to be solved. Not only do the environmental and archaeological data not converge, but even the archaeological data seem to contradict each other unless we consider a variability in water levels of high frequency, several independent lakes or water engineering on the scale of the wadi Mariut, whose remains have not yet been highlighted.

Illustration of the geoarchaeological paradox: Taposiris harbour

The lake complex of Taposiris (Fig. 2), investigated since 1998 by the French mission at Taposiris Magna and Plinthine, consists of a dug or deepened canal bordered to the south by an artificial sedimentary levee on which warehouses are lined up and to the north, at its eastern end, by dredging material. A bridge that connects the bank to the artificial levee is dated to early Roman times, more specifically to the 2nd century CE (Boussac and El Amouri, 2010). The artificial levee and the dredged material cover Hellenistic remains (2nd–1st century BCE), part of which is below the present water surface (Boussac and El-Amouri, 2010). To the east, a stone pier from the late Roman period (4th century CE) closes the port complex. The swamp located to the north, which could suggest a harbour basin, was already a swamp during antiquity (Flaux, 2012).

The chronological sequence is as follows (Boussac and El-Amouri, 2010; Boussac, 2015):

- urban development during the 2nd–1st century BCE;
- building of an artificial levee during the 2nd century CE;
- raising of the artificial levee after the 4th century CE;
- installation of the eastern pier around the 4th century CE;
- abandonment of the area after the 7th century CE.

3 Material and methods

Carrying out a geoarchaeological study in wadi Mariut is complex due to the lack of analysis equipment and to Egyptian legislation prohibiting the export of samples. Moreover, urbanisation and agricultural development in the region in the 20th century has considerably altered the topography and sedimentary formations of the region (quarries, salt ponds, etc.). We therefore used a combination of methods to overcome these obstacles.

3.1 Reassessment of geoarchaeological data

We reassessed and crossed three types of data that led researchers to reconstruct a large extension of Lake Mareotis in wadi Mariut:

- sedimentological, biological and physico-chemical data (available in Tronchère, 2010; Tronchère et al., 2014; Warne and Stanley, 1993);

- archaeological data, in particular the distribution of sites (from Blue and Khalil, 2011 and Boussac, 2015);

- historical data, through an analysis of two sources frequently used in the study of the region (Strabo, 2015:17; Jacotin, 1818).

3.2 Early scholars’ accounts (1800–1945)

A total of 11 early western scholars’ contributions (Chabrol and Lancet, 1829; De Cosson, 1935; El Falaki, 1872; Le Père, 1823, 1825, 1829; Jacotin, 1824; Oliver, 1945; Reclus, 1885; Rennell, 1800; St John, 1849) have been selected and studied to recover data erased over time. They describe a period during which human activities were less developed than today and provide additional elements on archaeology, completing the data concerning the distribution of the sites and the topography.

3.3 Maps (1807–1958)

Eight maps (Table 2) have been analysed to identify the topography of the lands currently flooded and the vanished archaeological sites and to characterise the recent evolution of the extension of the lake.

3.4 Corona photographs

Three declassified satellite photographs from the Corona programme (Table 3) were acquired free of charge on Earth-Explorer (https://earthexplorer.usgs.gov/, last access: 22 January 2021).

They allow us to evaluate the topography of the now-flooded areas and to observe the region before its current development. Moreover, they help us to escape the subjectivity of early scholars and the bias of cartographic surveys in swampy areas and to observe the terrain from the sky to identify macrostructures.

4 Results and interpretation

4.1 Reassessment of geoarchaeological data

4.1.1 Sedimentological data: playa, lake or lakes?

Two studies led to the reconstruction of a large extension of Lake Mareotis in its western part: Warne and Stanley (1993) at the regional scale and Tronchère (2010) and Tronchère et al. (2014) around Taposiris. The first study (Warne and Stanley, 1993) was based on the analysis of sedimentary cores and revealed the presence of a lagoon dominated by fluvial inputs throughout wadi Mariut and up to Taposiris in the west ~ 2500–2000 years ago. If only the regional scale and major trends are considered, these conclusions are correct, although a reassessment is needed at the local scale and is made possible by the rigour of Warne and Stanley (1993) in presenting their data. At the scale of wadi Mariut, the data highlight three points:

- on several isopleth maps (Fig. 3), the Nilotic influence does not appear (verdine/glauconite) or appears very slightly (mica, heavy minerals) in the western part of
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wadi Mariut, and other parameters strongly differentiate it (gypsum, foraminifera);

- the Holocene sedimentary column represents less than 2.5 m in most of wadi Mariut;

- in the western part of wadi Mariut, the only facies that can be linked to a lagoon are “lagoon margin mud” facies whose composition could just as well correspond to playa or semi-playa deposits (as described by Embabi, 2004, and by Crépy, 2016).

The continuity of the lagoon up to Taposiris is therefore not established by Warne and Stanley’s data (1993) which show, in contrast, that at least one basin has undergone a specific evolution (Fig. 3).

Data from Tronchère (2010) and Tronchère et al. (2014) at local scale led to the reconstruction of a lake or lagoon reaching Taposiris during the whole Holocene (the alteration layer of the calcarenite substratum was dated to 40 254–38 032 cal BCE1). However, the very small quantity of ostracods found (some samples were totally free of them) and the granulometric data do not go in this direction. The laser granulometry curves are all multimodal, which Tronchère (2010) attributed to a sampling bias. The presence of recurrent aeolian modes (loess: 10–20 µm; dune sand: 100–200 µm; according to Tsoar and Pye, 1987) mixed with other modes would rather indicate a palustrine environment influenced by desert dynamics (e.g. playa or sebkha margin). To sum up, the sedimentological data are more likely to correspond to marshy or immersed areas disconnected from the Mariut lagoon.

4.1.2 Historical data: some necessary precautions

Strabo’s Book 17 (Strabo, 2015:17) and Jacotin’s map (1818) are often used to study Lake Mareotis’ extension during antiquity. According to the ancient geographer, the lake measured, in his time, 150 stadia (∼27.75 km) or more in width and less than 300 stadia (∼55.5 km) in length (Strabo, 2015:17, 14). These measurements have generally been interpreted in light of the current state of the lake, and the orientation has often been used as follows: 300 stadia from the north-east to south-west and 150 stadia from the north-west to south-east. However, there is no indication of this orientation in Strabo’s text, and data on the Holocene evolution of the Nile Delta and on subsidence in the eastern Mariut basin would rather fit with an opposite orientation, especially if one takes into account a Nilotic influence.

Jacotin’s map (1818) has sometimes been used as a reference state of ancient Mariut (e.g. Tronchère et al., 2014) even if the limits of the lake have been drawn after a British military operation, as mentioned on the map, “Cuts made by the English to flood Lake Mareotis (19 Germinal An 9–19 April 1801)”. The lake on the map corresponds to a state when it was directly connected to the Aboukir lagoon, itself open to the sea, and therefore at a much higher level than the maxima during most of the studied period (4th century BCE–8th century CE) when the Canopic deltaic lobe used to be an obstacle to links with the Mediterranean Sea (Stanley et al., 2001).

4.1.3 Archaeological data

The spatio-temporal distribution of the sites could seem relevant to draw the ancient shores of the lake. However, two obstacles prevent the proper exploitation of the survey results (e.g. Blue and Khalil, 2011; Picon and Empereur, 1998). In the absence of underwater surveys, the distribution of sites is incomplete and draws a shoreline corresponding to the limit of the lake at the time of the survey (even if Blue and Khalil, 2011, also mentioned some underwater remains, they saw from the land), and surface surveys are not sufficient to give an exhaustive chronology of the sites. However, the following observations can be made thanks to the Hellenistic and Roman amphora workshops of Mariut island and Borg el Arab (surveyed by Empereur and Picon, 1998, and Blue and Khalil, 2011; Fig. 4). The altitude of their kilns shows that the lake must have been located within more restricted limits or at a lower level than today in this part of wadi Mariut. The kilns of this area are indeed located below the top of the phreatic water table induced by the current lake. Similar levels inferred for antiquity would thus hinder their use. Likewise, the Hellenistic quarter of the lower town of Taposiris is incompatible with the present level of the lagoon (Flaux, 2012).

4.2 Early scholars’ accounts (1800–1945)

4.2.1 Limits of the lake(s)

Before the flooding in 1801, low areas of the Mariut depression could fill with water after rainfall (Chabrol and Lancret, 1829; Le Père, 1825) and form small independent lakes, for example southwest of Taposiris (St John, 1849). At the peak of the flood, the western limit of the water would have been located at the level of the so-called Arabs’ tower (Jacotin, 1824) or 1000 m east of Taposiris (Le Père, 1829) or about 500 m east of the Arabs’ tower (Fig. 5). W. G. Browne, at the end of the 18th century, saw no reason to consider a lake that would have been more than 1 or 1.5 leagues (∼4.83 or ∼7.25 km) from Alexandria in the past based on the observation of the ground and the topography (Rennell, 1800).

4.2.2 Data on archaeological remains

Early scholars mention remains that have now disappeared, such as walls and canals in wadi Mariut (Chabrol and Lancret, 1829), as well as levees or small dikes (now under wa-

---

1Calibration by M. Crépy with OxCal using IntCal20 calibration curve based on raw data from Tronchère et al. (2014).
Table 2. Maps used in this study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Link</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1807</td>
<td>Arrowsmith</td>
<td><a href="https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b530669569/">https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b530669569/</a> (last access: 22 January 2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1818</td>
<td>Jacotin</td>
<td><a href="https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b531569998/">https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b531569998/</a> (last access: 22 January 2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1827</td>
<td>Coste</td>
<td><a href="https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b8491814n/">https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b8491814n/</a> (last access: 22 January 2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1850</td>
<td>St John</td>
<td><a href="https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b53136219p/">https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b53136219p/</a> (last access: 22 January 2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1866</td>
<td>El Falaki</td>
<td><a href="https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b10101071m/">https://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/btv1b10101071m/</a> (last access: 22 January 2021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1942</td>
<td>AMS</td>
<td><a href="http://legacy.lib.utexas.edu/maps/ams/egypt/tsxu-pclmaps-oclc-6559596-el-hammam.jpg">http://legacy.lib.utexas.edu/maps/ams/egypt/tsxu-pclmaps-oclc-6559596-el-hammam.jpg</a> (last access: 22 January 2021)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. (a) Isopleths (based on Warne and Stanley, 1993) recording the Nile’s influence on the Mariut basin. (b) Isopleths (based on Warne and Stanley, 1993) indicating high evaporation processes during the Holocene in the western part of wadi Mariut. (c) Isopleths (based on Warne and Stanley, 1993) emphasising specific local dynamics in wadi Mariut.
Figure 4. Amphora workshops in the area (base map image: © Google Earth Pro).

Figure 5. Limit of the lakes according to old maps. (a) Regional scale. (b) More local scale.
Wadi Mariut includes several closed basins whose elevations are below mean sea level and are separated by topographic thresholds and higher areas.

### 4.3.3 Mention of ruins and remains

The ruins are numerous on both banks of wadi Mariut, as well as on islands and even in the marshy part at its bottom (El Falaki, 1866). To the south of Taposiris and near Kom Bahig, a map (St John, 1850) shows the remains of catacombs and an excavation on the opposite bank. Many dikes, causeways or bridges are also indicated (Jacotin, 1818); there is one causeway between Marea and Sidi Kirayr and a second between Gamal and Mariut island, and elements of dikes or causeways are represented at Taposiris. Finally, the dug canal of the port of Taposiris appears clearly on two maps; El Falaki (1866) indicates in addition to this canal, which he extends to the west, a second canal towards the south in accordance with the descriptions of Oliver (1945; cf. Sect. 4.2.3), and Arrowsmith (1807) roughly draws the shape of the west–east canal and designates it as ‘Remains of an ancient canal from the Nile’. Unfortunately, travellers’ descriptions do not make it possible to assess precisely the dating of the remains, but the low occupation of the area from the end of the 7th century CE (Décobert, 2002) until at least the end of the 18th century (Hairy and Sennoune, 2009) makes an ancient dating very likely. Moreover, concerning the canals, neither the books of Coste (1878) and Linant de Bellefonds (1873), who worked on agricultural projects in the region, nor the topographical maps of the 20th century mention the canals, which confirms that they were not made in the 19th or 20th centuries.

### 4.4 Corona photographs: canals in wadi Mariut

In the photographs used, the levels and extent of the lake are lower than they are today as the agricultural projects that now bring in large amounts of surplus irrigation water were still in their initial stages. In the photograph of August 1970 in particular, the level is very low because of summer evaporation and scarce rainfall, whereas it is higher in the two other ones (March 1970 and January 1965). In the photographs, it appears that in the western part of wadi Mariut, several of the closed basins are linked by two large canals or channels (the longest being more than 12 km) joined to the west of Gamal. From there, a unique canal extends discontinuously up to the artificial levee of Taposiris and further south-west and then west from the Roman bridge of Taposiris where it ends in the small lake mentioned in maps and accounts (Fig. 6). The maximal width of the two joint canals is around 80 m. They border some depressions which could have been harbour basins as they are located close to sites including ancient piers (Fig. 6). The north–south canal (reported by El Falaki, 1866; Oliver, 1945) is also visible.

Some shorter, narrow canals branch to the two main ones and join sites to the south. It is difficult to determine whether...
these canals were deepened channels in flooded areas or if they were canals dug in dry or marshy areas. However, the shapes of the banks of rubble along some parts of the two main canals indicate digging in an environment dry enough for the rubble to remain despite the high proportions of silt and clay at the bottom of wadi Mariut.

5 Discussion

5.1 Solving the paradox: lakes and canals

The combination of all these elements shows that for certain periods of the Holocene, there was not a unique Mariut lake but several lakes occupying closed depressions (cf. Sects. 4.1, 4.2, 4.3.2, 4.4) which could be filled by rainfall. The creation of canals (cf. Sect. 4.4) made it possible to connect them by crossing the topographic thresholds (cf. Sect. 4.3.2). In this way, it was possible to have harbour infrastructures without a continuous stretch of water. These canals are connected to the Taposiris harbour canal, whose digging is firmly dated to the 2nd century CE, and they border several sites that were occupied during the same period, including three amphora kilns whose construction is dated between the mid-1st and the mid-3rd centuries CE (Fig. 6). It is therefore probable that the canals were built during the

Figure 6. Corona photograph DS1111-2167/DA024 (USGS). (a) Canal from Marea to the west of Taposiris. (b) Focus on the central area. (c) Details of the canals.
Roman period as a harbour complex including several sites (including Taposiris, Mariut island, Marea, etc.). Under these conditions, it becomes easier to understand how Roman harbours could have been in the direct vicinity of Roman amphora kilns; it was not an extensive lake with a highly developed underground water table but smaller basins connected to each other by canals. This interpretation pattern is fully consistent with previously published sedimentological data (e.g. Warne and Stanley, 1993; Tronchère, 2010; Tronchère et al., 2014; Flaux, 2012). Obviously, the chronology will have to be refined by fieldwork at the scale of the wadi Mariut. The magnitude of the works raises a question: for what reasons were canals and at least one bridge built? This article alone cannot provide a complete answer, but it is likely that in an Egyptian climatic context dominated by hyper aridity, the agricultural opportunities offered by the Mediterranean winter rainfall and the natural concentration of surface water in wadi Mariut justified the efforts in connection with the export of local agricultural productions (e.g. wine).

5.2 New hypothesis on Mareotis lake(s) evolution

During the first part of the period under study (first half of the 1st millennium BCE), the archaeological remains were mainly found in high areas, which could lead to the assumption of high lake levels compatible with the palaeoenvironmental data (from Flaux, 2012), but the lack of archaeological excavations calls for caution. In contrast, it is clear that during the Hellenistic and early Roman periods, the water levels were lower, and the extension of the water was smaller (Fig. 7) thanks to the presence of numerous sites incompatible with a single large lake. This reduction can be explained both by the progradation of the Canopic lobe closing off the Bay of Aboukir and the connection to the sea (Flaux, 2012) and by the canal of Alexandria, depriving the lake of part of the Nile flood to the benefit of the city’s water supply. The urban and agricultural development of this period could therefore partly explain the ruins mentioned by the early scholars and the maps at the bottom of the wadi Mariut, which are located in some of the topographic threshold areas separating the closed depressions. However, flooding during intense rainfall or an exceptional Nile flood cannot be excluded.

During the Roman period, the digging of the canal artificially re-established the connectivity between the lakes of the wadi Mariut and linked all the sites to Lake Mareotis proper in the east and thus to Alexandria, the Nile and the Mediterranean, contributing to the economic growth of the region. Finally, the construction of the stone jetty at Taposiris after the 4th century CE, which includes a fish pond, as well as the raising of the levee after the 4th century CE (Boussac and El Amouri, 2010), seems to indicate a rise in the water level which could be linked to the gradual subsidence of the Canopic branch (Stanley et al., 2001) or greater Nile floods. This rise has also been demonstrated near Marea (Pichot and Flaux, 2015). Our study does not allow us to know more, but that of Flaux (2011) indicates a severe drying up as early as the 9th century CE.

6 Conclusion and perspectives

This article returns to a subject already addressed by classical sedimentological methods of geoarchaeology. This type of data alone, although obviously necessary to study past environments, was not sufficient to solve the paradox of the wadi Mariut. Combining it with geohistorical sources (Corona photographs, maps, accounts of early scholars) allows for new interpretations. They provide a complementary vision of the spatial organisation, landscapes and environmental dynamics prior to the profound changes in this region since the 1980s.

They allow for the development of a model of the evolution of the wadi Mariut from the beginning of the Hellenistic period (late 4th century BCE) to the beginning of the Medieval period (8th century CE). The lake first experienced a period of drying up followed by urban and agricultural devel-
opment, including in some sectors of the bottom of the wadi Mariut. During the Roman period in the 2nd century CE, a system composed of large canals was set up, linking independent basins to each other and to the large Lake Mareotis (the lake actually described by Strabo) in the east. After the 4th century CE, higher water levels are likely, before drying up in the 9th century CE (Flaux, 2012). Refining and completing this chronology and this model, as well as studying the canals in detail (possible locks, variability of water levels, etc.) now requires us to go back to the field and start new coring and excavations. It is also a starting point for a new analysis of regional economy and history.
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