Late Quaternary landform evolution and sedimentary successions in the Miaoli Tableland, northwestern Taiwan
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Abstract: Elevated Quaternary sedimentary complexes in the western foreland of the central mountain ranges of Taiwan are called tablelands. Their mostly flat surfaces are deeply incised by fluvial processes. The landforms and the fluvial systems in the Miaoli Tableland are investigated by high-resolution terrain analyses based on different datasets. Sediments are described in 51 outcrops and characterized by grain size composition. The outcrops revealed complete or incomplete sequences of the general scheme from bottom to top: sandy tidal–coastal units overlain by gravel- and cobble-rich fluvial deposits always with a fine-grained silt-rich top cover layer influenced by aeolian deposits. All layers are unconsolidated sediments. Three subtypes of this sequence were identified, with respect to the occurrence of the fluvial deposits. The relation of tectonic and erosional processes including the rework of gravels is discussed. The results reveal a tableland surface much more disaggregated than previously mapped, suggesting that individual tableland segments represent remnants of an inferred palaeotopography. The tableland surfaces have been separated into Sedimentary Highlands (SH-I and SH-II) and Sedimentary Terraces (ST) by geometrical properties. The Alluvial and Coastal Plains (AL) represent broad valley bottoms (“box-shaped valleys”) in the dendritic drainage systems below 150 m and the coastal plains. The landforms and predominantly the sediment sequences are discussed in the context of the existing stratigraphical schemes of the Toukoshan Formation and the so far rarely used Lungkang Formation. The latter is recommended as the stratigraphical term for the refined subdivision of the uppermost part of late Quaternary sediments in the Miaoli Tableland.

1 Introduction

Sedimentary terraces are landforms which are formed by deposition, base level change, and subsequent erosion. They provide stratigraphic records and represent archives for changes in sedimentary and erosional processes (Charlton, 2008). The process-inducing environmental factors are climate change, sea-level change, and local tectonism, which have been studied in various regions worldwide for interpreting the landscape evolution of sedimentary terraces (e.g. Bridgland and Westaway, 2008; Robustelli et al., 2014; Pickering et al., 2014; Mather et al., 2017).

Huge sedimentary complexes are distributed in the western foreland of the Taiwanese mountain ranges (Yu and Chou, 2001; Yang et al., 2006) (Fig. 1a). They are dissected by fluvial incision, thus forming terraces, which are called
“tablelands” by local scientists (Lin, 1957; Teng, 1979; Lin and Chou, 1978; Tomita, 1954; Chang et al., 1998; Tsai et al., 2006, 2010). Landforms similar to the tablelands have been described in the western Pacific area, such as in Japan (Matsu‘ura et al., 2014) and Korea (Choi et al., 2009).

However, until now, the detailed formation history of these sedimentary terraces has been widely unclear, even though detailed studies focused on different aspects of the tableland morphology such as the local tectonism (Shyu et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2006; Shih and Yang, 1985), the long-term sedimentation since the Neogene (Teng, 1996b; Teng et al., 2001), or weathering degrees and their corresponding relative chronology of the surface materials (Ota et al., 2002; Shih and Yang, 1985; Tsai et al., 2006). The majority of these studies follow the interpretations that, according to the continuous tectonic uplift since the Pliocene, the age of the tablelands’ sedimentary units ranges from the Pliocene to early Pleistocene (Chang, 1953). Only a few studies included or focused on the late Quaternary sedimentation and surface morphology of the tablelands (Ota et al., 2006; Tseng et al., 2013; Horng, 2014; Chang et al., 1998; Teng et al., 2001; Siame et al., 2012).

The sedimentary sequences represent potentially valuable archives of the Quaternary landscape history of western Taiwan. As the mountainous island is located in a unique position at the Tropic of Cancer between the Asian continent and the western Pacific, these archives may even have implications for the entire region in terms of palaeoclimate and sea-level change. Thus, more detailed morphological studies are required in order to understand the complicated nature of these terraces on the basis of a reconstruction of the process history. This study presents – as a first step – an approach for interpreting morphological processes by the combination of a concise 3D terrain analysis and sedimentological studies by field observation and particle size characterization in a defined research area in northwestern Taiwan, the Miaoli Tableland. A detailed chronology of the sediment sequence is not in the scope of this morphological study.
2 Regional setting

2.1 Tectonic background and erosion rates of the Taiwanese mountain ranges and their foreland

The orogeny of the Taiwanese mountain ranges is caused by the arc-continent collision at the convergence zone of the Eurasian plate and the Philippine Sea plate in the western Pacific (Fig. 1a), which has been active since the Plio-Pleistocene (Suppe, 1984; Angelier et al., 1986; Teng, 1990; Willem and Knuepfer, 1994; Teng, 1992; Yu and Song, 2000). Today, the mountain ranges of central Taiwan reach an elevation of 3952 m a.s.l. and are composed of Tertiary sedimentary rocks and low-grade metamorphic rocks at the western limb. Their westernmost part, a 10–20 km wide frontal belt has been called the “Western Foothills” (WF) (Fig. 1a) (Ho, 1988; Pelletier and Stephan, 1986) and has a general height below 2500 m a.s.l. The western foreland of the mountain ranges is a Neogene basin (Yang et al., 2006). The basin deposits have been interpreted as a succession of Tertiary sedimentary rocks overlain by Quaternary sediments yielded from the mountain ranges (Fig. 1a) (Simoes and Avouac, 2006; Yu and Chou, 2001; Lin and Watts, 2002; Lin et al., 2003; Chen et al., 2001; Ho, 1994; Covey, 1986). However, their precise chronology is not available yet (Ota et al., 2006; Chang et al., 1998; Teng, 1996b; Lin, 1963; Ho, 1994). Parts of these Quaternary sediments have been uplifted to present altitudes with a maximum height of ca. 1000 m a.s.l. Due to the complexity of the tectonism, the long-term uplift rates of the WF and the Neogene basin are still unclear (Deffontaines et al., 1997; Shyu et al., 2005; Yang et al., 2016; Teng, 1996a; Ching et al., 2011).

The strong uplift of the island and the subtropical monsoon climate including frequent typhoon events induce erosion rates with an average of 3–6 mm a⁻¹ (Dadson et al., 2003). The precipitation is mainly concentrated during the summer and autumn; on average about two to three typhoons strike Taiwan annually (average 1949–2019) (Central Weather Bureau, 2019). Typhoons often cause extreme precipitation events and floods.

2.2 Quaternary sea-level change in Southeast Asia

The post-Last Glacial Maximum (LGM) sea-level curve of the Taiwan Strait has been established by radiocarbon dating of marine sediments (Liu et al., 2008; Chen and Liu, 1996). However, a pre-LGM sea-level curve is not yet established. The combination with global and regional models that reach back further, especially from the Sunda Shelf (Hanbuth et al., 2011; Shackleton, 2000), shows that the sea level was much lower than today after the end of the Eemian sea-level high stand, with a minimum during the LGM (−120 to −140 m). As the depth of the Taiwan Strait west of the Miao Tableland is less than 60 m, it was dry land during most of the Late Pleistocene (Horng and Huh, 2011; Huh et al., 2011), and the palaeoflow directions of the northwestern Taiwanese rivers were north to northeast toward the Kuanyin Depression (Huh et al., 2011) (Fig. 1a). After the general Holocene sea-level rise, a short-term high stand during the Mid-Holocene reached +5 m (Liu et al., 2004; Chen and Liu, 1996).

2.3 The tablelands in Taiwan

The uplift, sea-level changes, and the subsequent erosional processes have dissected the foreland sediments. These sedimentary terraces are called “-Ding/-m” in the local language, i.e. terraces (Chen et al., 2004; Tomita, 1954) or tablelands (Tsai et al., 2010, 2006; Lin, 1957; Teng, 1979; Teng et al., 2001; Shih and Yang, 1985; Lin and Chou, 1978) according to different concepts of the landform evolution, respectively.

Tablelands are mainly distributed in a ca. 5–20 km wide area along the western margin of the WF (Fig. 1a), as well as in mountain basins. In the past, the tableland surfaces were differentiated morphologically and sedimentologically by the following criteria: (1) the lithification degree of the sediments, (2) the driving force of current morphology (fluvial incision/tectonic displacement), (3) the weathering characteristics of the cover sediments (relatively old and intensively weathered substrate/relatively young alluvial deposits), and (4) the elevation of surfaces as a relative chronological index of different morphological stages (Lin, 1957; Tomita, 1953, 1951, 1954). The resulting categories of the tableland surfaces were named “Laterite Highlands” for the higher-elevated quasi-flat surfaces that are covered by reddish, highly weathered sediments; “Laterite Terraces” for the lower-elevated quasi-flat surfaces that are covered by brownish/reddish highly weathered sediments; and “Fluvial Terraces” for the modern fluvial terraces/plains in the immediate vicinity of fluvial paths (Lin, 1957).

In recent decades there have been more detailed studies on the origin and development of the tablelands, e.g. studies on tectonism at the Dadu Tableland and Pakua Tableland (Delcaillau, 2001; Shih and Yang, 1985), the soil development and relative chronology at the Dadu Tableland (Tsai et al., 2010) and Pakua Tableland (Tsai et al., 2006), and the morphology and sediment chronology of the Puli Tableland (Tseng et al., 2013). The depositional environments and biotratigraphic of the sedimentary sequence as well as the morphology corresponding to active tectonism were studied in the Linkou Tableland (Horng, 2014; Teng et al., 2001) as well as the relative and absolute chronology, erosion, and tectonism of smaller terraces in other regions (Chen et al., 2004; Ota et al., 2009, 2002, 2005; Chen et al., 2003). All these studies gave a frame for an understanding of the tableland formation; however, neither an overall morphological model nor a detailed chronology of the tableland development has been established so far.

In past publications the terms “laterite/lateritic” have been used according to the local context, which describes the reddish/brownish, fine-grained soils on the surfaces. However, the usage of this term has recently been challenged by soil
2.4 Study area: the Miaoli Tableland

The sedimentary complexes in the Miaoli region are called the Miaoli Tableland (Teng, 1979) or Miaoli Hills (Chang et al., 1998). We prefer the former term because the geological and morphological settings of the area are consistent with the other tablelands in northwestern Taiwan (Lin and Chou, 1978; Teng, 1979). The Miaoli Tableland is located on the northwestern coast of Taiwan between the Houlong River and the Daan River (120°38′10″ to 120°48′57″E, 24°36′51″ to 24°21′32″N). Both rivers have their source area in the mountain belt and therefore a different hydrological regime than the other rivers in the study area. The Miaoli Tableland covers an area of ca. 283 km². Its topographical surface is characterized by terraces with different elevation levels. The highest elevation is located at the southernmost part at about 614 m a.s.l. This point is called “Huoyanshan/火炎山”, also known as “Fire Mountain” (Fig. 1b). A narrow coastal plain forms a 30 km long stretch between the Wumei (Xihu) River and Yuanli River (Fig. 1b). It is composed of alluvial sediments that are carried by the longshore current (Jan et al., 2002; Wang et al., 2003); according to climate statistics from 2003 to 2020, the tidal difference in the shore area of Miaoli is 4–6 m (Central Weather Bureau, 2020).

The study area is mainly drained by the Tungxiao River and the Wumei (Xihu) River as well as other small local catchments (Fig. 1b). However, many of the fluvial paths in the area are artificially constrained by levees for defending from flooding. For example, the flooding which affected the Miaoli region on 7 August 1959 (“八七水災”) was the most severe flooding in the 20th century in Taiwan (The Taiwan Provincial Weather Institution, 1959; Central Weather Bureau, 2019).

The geological maps and the studies of tectonic features exhibit one inferred syncline, two inferred anticlines with low dip angles, and four inferred thrust faults with steep dip angles in the study area (Fig. 1b). These features are almost parallel aligned, striking mainly northeast to southwest (Chang, 1990, 1994; Ho, 1994; Yu et al., 2013; Lin and Watts, 2002; Yu and Chou, 2001; Yang et al., 2016). The coastal area is affected by the tentatively called “frontal movement”, which is assumed to be the youngest thrust movement in the Miaoli area (Shyu et al., 2005). Two active thrust faults have been identified at the eastern margin of the Miaoli Tableland (Ota et al., 2006), and an inferred thrust fault at the western fringe of the highlands has been proposed by Chang et al. (1998) (Fig. 1b). There are no direct studies on Quaternary uplift rates in the Miaoli area. Results from the southerly Pakua Tableland, based on radiocarbon dating of different heights of the terrace surfaces, show that it can be assumed to be around 1 mm a⁻¹ (Ota et al., 2002, 2006).

The general formation of the Miaoli Tableland has been explained by two hypotheses. Chang et al. (1998) assumed that the present Sedimentary Highlands and the southwest of the Sedimentary Terraces area (the Pingding terrace, Fig. 1b) represent jointed alluvial fans. The gravels and cobbles, which build up the fans, were yielded from the Houlong, Wumei (Xihu), and Daan (palaeo-)rivers. The rest of the area (the Tungxiao River catchment) was interpreted as a palaeobay, which was subsequently filled with sediments (Appendix A). Following the traditional classification of Lin (1957), Chang et al. (1998) assumed that differentiated uplift and erosion during the late Quaternary have dissected the palaeotopography into terraces. They subdivided the surfaces of the Miaoli Tableland into three surface elevation levels as Laterite Highlands, Laterite Terraces, and Fluvial Terraces (see Sect. 2.2).

In contrast, Ota et al. (2006) focused on differentiated tectonism in the Miaoli region. They assumed that folding along the Tungxiao Anticline (Fig. 1b) caused uplift in the present ST area. This induced the erosion of the overlying sediments, resulting in a topographic inversion along the anticline. The thrust of the Tongluo Fault (Fig. 1b) caused the uplift of the southern SH (tentatively named the “Sanyi Tableland”). This resulted in the separation of the ST and SH along a distinct topographic escarpment, which subsequently was rapidly eroded eastward causing the beheading of the valleys in the southern SH. Colluvial deposits of gravels and cobbles on the western slope foot of the escarpment exhibit the ongoing erosion (Chen, 1983).

The southeastern SH (former Laterite Highlands) is the most detailed studied part of the Miaoli Tableland. Ota et al. (2006) mapped massive, deeply dissected, and tectonically deformed fluvial terraces here. The strata are inclined > 30° to the east in the Fire Mountain area (Chang, 1994). The inclination is assumed to be affected by the westward thrust of the Sanyi Fault (Yang et al., 2007) (Fig. 1b).

2.5 Former stratigraphical interpretations of the Miaoli Tableland

The stratigraphical interpretations of the sedimentary layers in the study area were proposed in the 1930s and redefined in the latter half of the 20th century. The sedimentary layers in the study area were described in different terms as follows.
2.5.1 Toukoshan Formation (Tk Formation)

The Tk Formation is the common term for the Pliocene–Quaternary strata that are exposed along the mountain front of the WF in northern and central Taiwan (Chang, 1990, 1994; Ho, 1994; Lee, 2000; Chen et al., 2001; Chang, 1953). However, information on the dimension and composition of the Tk Formation vary in the available publications. The composition is described as fine-grained sediments in the lower and coarse-grained fluvial sediments in the uppermost sections with a total thickness up to more than 1000 m in central Taiwan (Chang, 1948, 1955; Torii, 1935; Chen et al., 2001). The well-rounded shape of the fluvial gravels and cobbles shows that they might be reworked and transported over certain distances (Teng, 1996b). Some authors described the lower units as already consolidated (Chang, 1955; Ho, 1988; Chang, 1990). Biostratigraphical studies on planktonic foraminifera indicated that the deposition of the Tk Formation started after the end of Olduvai event (Huang, 1984), and a time span of 1.24–0.46 Ma was given by the comparison between the abundance of species and the biozones (Lee et al., 2002).

2.5.2 Tûsyô/Tungxiao/Lungkang Formation (Ts/Lk Formation)

Beside the broadly used term Tk Formation, an older definition of the sediment strata specifically in the Miaoli Tableland is called the Tûsyô Formation (as Ts Formation, according to pronunciations of Japanese of the local name “怒山”). It was proposed by Makiyama (1934, 1937) and renamed in Chinese as the Tungxiao Formation by Chang (1948) to describe the loose, poorly cemented sedimentary layers in the Miaoli Tableland with a sequence from bottom to top of intercalated marine sediments, tidal–coastal layers, gravel and cobbles beds, and a surface layer with ocher-coloured soils. In 1963, Lin proposed the term Lungkang Formation (as Lk Formation) for the uppermost 10–15 m of the same succession in the coastal area of the Miaoli Tableland. The only difference between these two definitions is that the cover layer of the Lungkang Formation was interpreted as dune sand (Lin, 1963). The absolute chronology of these strata is uncertain. Makiyama (1934) assumed a late Tertiary deposition according to the composition of fossils by the palaeontological concept at that time. Radiocarbon dating on molluscs gave an early Holocene time span (Lin, 1969). The Ts/Lk Formation has been rarely mentioned by other authors after the 1960s.

2.5.3 “Alluvium Deposits”, “Terrace Deposits”, and “Lateritic Terrace Deposits”

These are different terms for the description of non-cemented deposits on various geographical surfaces (i.e. tablelands, valley floors, flood plains, coastal plains, and estuaries) in the geological maps of the study area (Ota et al., 2006; Chang et al., 1998; Chang, 1990, 1994; Ho, 1994; Chen et al., 2004). They are composed of gravel, aeolian sands, and a mixture of dusty fine sediments. No direct dating has been proceeded yet. A late Quaternary age was assumed based on the loose, poorly consolidated consistency of the deposits. The thickness of them varies depending to the palaeotopography; it reaches a maximum of about 20 m (Chang, 1990; Ho, 1994).

3 Material and methods

3.1 Terrain analyses

Systematic terrain analyses were undertaken by the combination and integration of 3D and 2D datasets: (1) open-access digital elevation models from the Ministry of the Interior of Taiwan (resolution 20 m) and the Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM, resolution 1 arcsec, ca. 80 m) (Satellite Survey Center, 2018; NASA JPL, 2013), (2) aerial photos and satellite imagery from the open-access Web Map Tile Service in a geographical information system (GIS) (Center for GIS RCHSS Academia Sinica, 2017; National Land Surveying and Mapping Center, 2016), (3) published topographic maps at a 1:25 000 scale accessible online (National Land Surveying and Mapping Center, 2016), and (4) geological maps of Taiwan (1:50 000) accessible online (Central Geological Survey, 2017).

For the identification and classification of different topographical landforms, we merged the information of absolute and relative elevation with the terrain steepness by applying automatic functions of the GIS software and manual mapping. The aim is to distinguish between the present fluvial paths, tableland surfaces, modern fluvial plains, and coastal plains as well as the slopes in between (Fig. 2).

Four terrain categories were defined:

- The category “fluvial paths” was derived from a calculation using the “Arc Hydro Tools” function in the GIS programme compared with the topographic maps and the aerial photos. The fluvial paths were mapped as line features, whilst other categories were mapped as surface features (polygons).

- The category “tableland” was defined as a quasi-flat surface with the following criteria: (1) the steepness is lower than 10°; (2) it is larger than 400 m² (1 pixel in the DEM); and (3) the flat surface is not in the direct vicinity of a flow path (Fig. 2). The steepness threshold was adapted from the study of Saito and Oguchi (2005), in which they proceeded the terrain analysis of 690 alluvial fans in Japan, Taiwan, and the Philippines. All fans show a terrain steepness lower than 7°; the majority of them have one less than 5°, including all 71 studied alluvial fans in this context in Taiwan (Saito and Oguchi, 2005). The edges of the tableland segments were determined by hand mapping. Comparing the direct raster to polygon conversion, it has concisely performed the noise reduction and the edge-smoothing pro-
Mapping of the tableland segments. (a) The original digital elevation models (Satellite Survey Center, 2018). (b) Surface steepness was subsequently calculated by an ArcGIS function (slope). (c) An example of hand mapping of the tableland segments in GIS. The tableland segments were recognized by the gentle terrace surfaces. (d) Results of the calculation of relative surface elevations: negative values represent DEM elevations lower than the theoretical linear surface and vice versa. The spatial distribution of negative and positive values shows a clear separation at the divide of the Wumei (Xihu) River and the Tungxiao River catchments and at the southern margin of the Chiding terrace.

3.2 Field observations and sampling

The internal sedimentological composition of the tableland segments was studied to characterize and identify typical layer sequences throughout the study area; 51 field sites were chosen for a detailed description by the following criteria: the sites are (1) easily accessible and provide a good overview of the sedimentary layers, (2) evenly distributed across the study area, and (3) contain more than two visible sedimentary layers for a representative sampling and comparison with other outcrops.

The elevations of the sites were extracted from the DEMs and compared with a handhold GPS receiver in the field. The sedimentary layers in the outcrops were recorded by standard characteristics: shape of boundaries, thickness, sedimentary structures, texture, particle size, and visible fossil content (Miall, 2014; Vail et al., 1991). The sediment colour was only described in a general way to identify individual layers in the field. A specific determination of soil parameters as well as a detailed facies analysis of individual layers are not in the scope of this study. The sedimentary layers were identified in each field site independently, and then the records were compared to find identical layers and sequences throughout the study area. At 12 selected sites, 41 samples were taken for particle size analyses including 2 samples from modern beach (dune) sand for comparison.
3.3 Particle size measurements

The particle size analyses were conducted with a Beckman Coulter™ LS-13320 laser diffractometer at Section 3: Geochronology of the Leibniz Institute for Applied Geophysics, Hanover, Germany. This technique is well adapted for high-precision measurements of fine-grained particles smaller than 2 mm (Konert and Vandenberghe, 1997; Eshel et al., 2004; Beuselinck et al., 1998). None of the samples contained particles > 2 mm, even including the matrix of the gravel and cobble beds.

The sample preparations and measurements were proceeded as follows: for each sample, ca. 20–50 mg of the sediments was filled into the test tubes and treated with 1% ammonium hydroxide (NH₄OH) solution, in order to disperse the aggregates of sediments for the subsequent particle size analyses. The test tubes were rotated for 24 h at 30 rpm to mix the solution and sediments. Organic matter was not removed. The particle size was measured five times for each sample to reduce the random error. The results were accepted when the value of polarization intensity differential scattering (> 80%) and obscuration (< 10%) passed both criteria. The statistical index of the coefficient of variance mean (< 5%) and coefficient of variance standard variation (< 5%) were chosen to evaluate the reproducibility of the results (Konert and Vandenberghe, 1997). The particle size fractions and the texture classifications (Jahn et al., 2006) were correlated for the characterization of sedimentary records.

4 Results

4.1 Terrain analyses – topography of the Miaoli Tableland

The topographical analyses reveal the proportion of different morphological categories in the study area: the tablelands (SH + ST) represent 24.2% (ca. 68.7 km²); the slopes and gullies represent 44.8% (ca. 127.2 km²); the fluvial plains represent 24.2% (ca. 68.9 km²); and coastal plains represent 6.6% (ca. 18.7 km²) (Fig. 3).

The tableland surfaces are unevenly spread throughout the study area. Their sizes vary from only a few hundred square metres to several square kilometres. The larger tableland segments with widths ranging from ca. 100–1500 m, such as Pingding (ca. 7.0 km²), Chiding (ca. 4.3 km²), and other parts (from 2.0 to 0.5 km²) represent ca. 14% of the total terrain. However, the high-resolution mapping revealed that the overall spatial pattern of the tableland surface is made up of numerous smaller segments, which are only ca. 40–200 m wide (Fig. 3). They generally represent the water divides between the fluvial paths and are broadly distributed in the study area. Their distribution and shape depend also on different fluvial drainage patterns in the study area.

Figure 3. Results of the terrain analyses and the classification of tableland segments. The Sedimentary Highlands (SH) represent the fluvial terraces, located in the southeastern (SH-I) and northern part (SH-II) divided by the Wumei (Xihu) River. The Sedimentary Terraces (ST) are mainly located in the Tungxiao River catchment. The Alluvial and Coastal Plains (AL) represent the flat surfaces beside the fluvial channels. Detailed mapping of drainage patterns and the elevation profiles of the fluvial valleys is presented in Fig. 4.

The differentiation between the SH and ST can be clearly inferred from the relative height between the true elevations and the theoretical linear-trend surface (Fig. 2d). Furthermore, both show different directions of their inclination in general. The SH is composed of tablelands with ca. 2° northwest-inclining surfaces, while the ST tableland surfaces incline ca. 1° to the west (Fig. 3).

The topographical divide between the ST and the SH is represented by a continuous, west-facing escarpment bending from the southeast to the northwest. The steepness of the slope is about 30° and more. Its relative height is ca. 130–200 m in the south, decreasing northwestward to about 30 m.
4.1.1 The Sedimentary Highlands (SH)

The Sedimentary Highlands (SH) are located in the east and the north of the study area. They were divided into two subgroups by their surface elevation and their location with respect to the Wumei (Xihu) River. The area south of the Wumei (Xihu) River with elevations of about 250 to 614 m a.s.l. is named SH-I. These tableland surfaces are dissected to a minor degree and incline quasi-continuously northward to the left bank of the Wumei (Xihu) River (Fig. 3). The fluvial paths incised in the SH-I segments flow to the northeast. They are tributaries of the Wumei (Xihu) River and show a parallel pattern. The tableland in the northern and the northwestern part of the study area with elevations between 30 and 250 m a.s.l. is classified as SH-II (Fig. 3). The tableland segments are separated by the Wumei (Xihu) River into a western and an eastern part. The western part has larger coherent tableland surfaces, i.e. the tableland segment in Chiding, which is here the topographical divide between the Wumei (Xihu) River and the Taiwan Strait. The eastern SH-II is well dissected, and the remaining tableland segments are the interfluves between the tributaries of the Wumei (Xihu) River, the Nanshi River, and the Houlong River (Fig. 3). The fluvial paths between the SH-II segments are mainly below 150 m a.s.l. and have formed dendritic drainage patterns. All these streams flow in a western or northwestern direction to join the Wumei (Xihu) River and Houlong River or directly to the coast, respectively.

4.1.2 The Sedimentary Terraces (ST)

The Sedimentary Terraces (ST) are located to the west of the SH-I. They are distributed over the whole Tungxiao River catchment and extend further south to other smaller catchments. The majority of ST is lower than 250 m (the highest point is 445 m a.s.l., located at the boundary to the SH-I) and dropping to ca. 30 m at the distal edge close to the coast (Fig. 3 and Appendix A). The larger tableland segments (i.e. Pingding) are located south of the main stream of the Tungxiao River. The smaller tableland segments make up the bulk of the ST surfaces and can be connected tentatively by a quasi-flat inferred surface through the whole ST area (Fig. 3). Their topographic height is ca. 30 m in the east and decreases westward to ca. 5 m at the distal-tableland segments.

The drainage patterns in the ST are differentiated by the elevation. Streams above 150 m a.s.l. form a parallel drainage pattern similar to the SH-I (Fig. 3). Most of them begin near the topographic escarpment (i.e. western slope of the SH-I), and their flow path gradients vary from 1.0 to 14.0° (Fig. 3). The streams below 150 m a.s.l. in the central and western part of the ST have formed dendritic drainage patterns. The fluvial pattern in the SH-II shows also a dendritic system.

4.1.3 Slopes

The gradient of the slopes ranges from 10 to 66° with a majority of 10 to 26°. The spatial distribution of the gradients clearly shows a pattern in different scales. At an overall scale, the slopes in the north and west are gentler than the slopes in the south and east, while the differences between individual slopes are not so distinct. In the areas of the dendritic drainage patterns, the vertical shape of the slopes tends to be concave; i.e. the upper part of the slope is steeper than its foot. Reversely, in the areas of the parallel drainage patterns, the vertical shape of the slope is more convex (Fig. 4). Thus, the sections of the upper valleys above 150 m are typically V-shaped.

4.1.4 The Alluvial and Coastal Plains (AL)

The Alluvial and Coastal Plains (AL) reach elevations up to 150 m a.s.l. and represent the wide valley floors and the coastal area (Fig. 3). The main streams’ flow paths have gradients from 0.1 to 0.2°, whereas the tributaries’ flow paths are steeper (up to 8.0°). The majority of them are braided rivers, except the meandering Nanshi River, a tributary to the Houlong River (Fig. 3). The valley width is not consistent. It is up to kilometres in the main streams and ca. 20–100 m in most of the tributaries. Therefore, the AL represents the active fluvial plains as well as the terraces adjacent to the fluvial paths with one or two levels. The relative height of these terraces is < 10 m. Their sizes are constrained by their location and the stream order. The larger ones (> 1 km²) in the vicinity of main streams are up to 2100 m wide; the smaller ones (< 1 km²) in the tributaries are ca. 20–40 m wide (Fig. 3). The AL occurs only in those areas of the SH-II and ST with a dendritic drainage pattern. The valleys are ca. 150–1300 m wide and ca. 20–50 m deep and show relatively narrow flow paths (< 10 m mostly) (Figs. 3 and 4 and Appendix A). In combination with the steep slopes, valley cross sections show a quasi-rectangular transverse profile for which we introduce the term “box-shaped valley”.

The coastal plain has a consistent width of around 500–1000 m, but it widens up to 1500 m in the area of the estuaries. The topographic boundary between the coastal plain and distal-tableland segments can be clearly identified by the slope foot of the tableland segments (Fig. 3).

4.2 Sediment descriptions

The 51 studied outcrops in the Miaoli Tableland are located at the edges of the tableland segments (Fig. 5, Table 1) and provide therefore a vertical insight into their internal composition.

Their height ranges from about 3 to 50 m, and they are characterized by up to six relevant unconsolidated sediment layers (Figs. 5 and 6). However, only two of the outcrops show all layers of the succession (i.e. 001_HLPT and 010_EFB). Only a limited number of layers is exposed in
Table 1. List of studied outcrops.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Latitude</th>
<th>Longitude</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>Elevation (m a.s.l.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>HLPT (Hou-Long Petroleum)</td>
<td>24°35'45.30'' N</td>
<td>120°48'24.01'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>BTL (Ban-Tian-Liao)</td>
<td>24°35'47.02'' N</td>
<td>120°43'38.77'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>CSW (Chiuian-Shuei-Wo)</td>
<td>24°35'6.78'' N</td>
<td>120°48'28.61'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>RSK (Rong-Shu-Keng)</td>
<td>24°34'37.68'' N</td>
<td>120°44'23.69'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>NBK (NanBei-Keng)</td>
<td>24°34'21.97'' N</td>
<td>120°44'6.16'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>NCT (Nan-Ching Temple)</td>
<td>24°34'19.24'' N</td>
<td>120°43'32.91'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MYK (Ma-Yuan-Keng)</td>
<td>24°34'2.42'' N</td>
<td>120°46'48.54'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LG (Long-Gang)</td>
<td>24°34'1.12'' N</td>
<td>120°48'21.08'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>EFB (Er-Fu Bridge)</td>
<td>24°33'50.55'' N</td>
<td>120°44'48.17'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>FTK (Fu-Tou-Keng)</td>
<td>24°33'37.89'' N</td>
<td>120°44'21.63'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>ZW (Zhang-Wo)</td>
<td>24°32'50.93'' N</td>
<td>120°46'36.74'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>TKD (Tu-Kan-Ding)</td>
<td>24°32'23.29'' N</td>
<td>120°42'27.86'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>XP (Xin-Pu)</td>
<td>24°32'8.56'' N</td>
<td>120°41'41.95'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>BW (Bei-Wo)</td>
<td>24°31'12.20'' N</td>
<td>120°43'3.51'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>DBD (Da-Bi-Dou)</td>
<td>24°30'54.09'' N</td>
<td>120°43'14.00'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>GCW (Gu-Cuo-Wo)</td>
<td>24°29'48.64'' N</td>
<td>120°44'55.34'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>ZG (Zhuang)</td>
<td>24°28'55.02'' N</td>
<td>120°42'8.09'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>XNPW (Xiao-Nan Power Substation)</td>
<td>24°28'17.69'' N</td>
<td>120°40'12.99'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>YZS (Yuan-Zhi-Shan)</td>
<td>24°27'45.38'' N</td>
<td>120°43'15.15'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>JIC (Jin-Ji Company)</td>
<td>24°27'31.52'' N</td>
<td>120°39'48.64'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>SLK (Shiau-Lan-Keng)</td>
<td>24°25'45.22'' N</td>
<td>120°44'37.25'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>TZZ (Tian-Zhi-Keng)</td>
<td>24°25'58.22'' N</td>
<td>120°41'24.60'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>HDK (Hu-Dong-Kou)</td>
<td>24°34'49.79'' N</td>
<td>120°45'48.85'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>GJW (Gong-Jiao-Wan)</td>
<td>24°31'14.41'' N</td>
<td>120°47'29.54'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>TYGC (Tiao-Yan-Gu-Chi)</td>
<td>24°26'51.29'' N</td>
<td>120°45'13.33'' E</td>
<td>SH-I</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>XNPWH (Xiao-Nan Power Substation Heights)</td>
<td>24°28'15.05'' N</td>
<td>120°40'17.79'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>THST (Dong-He Steelworks)</td>
<td>24°34'13.42'' N</td>
<td>120°44'27.13'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>SZZ (San-Zuo-Wu)</td>
<td>24°22'14.62'' N</td>
<td>120°42'41.03'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>ZNQ (Zhong-Nan Quarry)</td>
<td>24°30'19.62'' N</td>
<td>120°41'23.91'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>YCZ (Yu-Cuo-Zhuang)</td>
<td>24°23'59.15'' N</td>
<td>120°42'17.68'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>KNS (Keng-Nei South)</td>
<td>24°35'12.39'' N</td>
<td>120°46'34.24'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>PD (Ping-Ding)</td>
<td>24°28'2.00'' N</td>
<td>120°41'0.36'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>JJW (Jie-Jih-Wo)</td>
<td>24°29'6.06'' N</td>
<td>120°43'44.16'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>JSS (Jin-Shan South)</td>
<td>24°24'58.39'' N</td>
<td>120°41'43.93'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>RGK (Lei-Gong-Keng)</td>
<td>24°32'32.61'' N</td>
<td>120°46'53.79'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>CHL (Chung-He-Li)</td>
<td>24°35'38.90'' N</td>
<td>120°44'16.56'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>GGF (Guo-Gang Fossils)</td>
<td>24°36'17.61'' N</td>
<td>120°43'49.20'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>SLP (Shuei-Liou-Po)</td>
<td>24°25'59.15'' N</td>
<td>120°41'42.66'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>NZ (Nan-Zhuang)</td>
<td>24°28'28.28'' N</td>
<td>120°43'21.62'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>SFB (Shuang-Fu Bridge)</td>
<td>24°36'37.61'' N</td>
<td>120°44'52.69'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>NWW (Nan-Wo II)</td>
<td>24°30'5.68'' N</td>
<td>120°43'53.50'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53</td>
<td>CLK (Che-Lun-Keng)</td>
<td>24°25'51.17'' N</td>
<td>120°43'32.89'' E</td>
<td>ST</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>JW (Jiang-Wo)</td>
<td>24°33'3.55'' N</td>
<td>120°45'54.91'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56</td>
<td>IFF (Yi-Fang Farm)</td>
<td>24°32'29.53'' N</td>
<td>120°46'52.54'' E</td>
<td>SH-II</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>57</td>
<td>CTK (Chang-Tan-Keng)</td>
<td>24°26'12.68'' N</td>
<td>120°46'19.75'' E</td>
<td>SH-I</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: 57 outcrops were documented initially, but 6 of them were excluded because of visible disturbances.
Figure 4. Detail maps of different fluvial drainage patterns in the Sedimentary Terraces (ST) with the respective elevation profiles of the valley cross sections. (a) Parallel patterns near the west-facing topographic escarpment between the SH-I (southeast) and ST (northwest) with valley bottoms mostly higher than 150 m a.s.l. Profile E–F shows the typical V-shaped valley cross sections. (b) Dendritic patterns in the northern ST area, especially with valley bottoms lower than 150 m a.s.l. Profile G–H shows wider and mainly box-shaped valley cross sections.

the rest of the outcrops – in general the upper part of the sequence. The layers were named according to their grain size composition applying the classification of the FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; Jahn et al., 2006). They are from bottom to top (1) greyish loam (L), (2) loamy sand (LS), (3) alternations between greyish and yellowish silt loam (SiC), (4) sandy loam (SL), (5) gravel and cobble bed (CSB), and (6) silty loamy cover layer (SiL). The results of their respective grain size properties are listed in Table 2 and summarized in Fig. 7.

4.2.1 Greyish loam layer (L)

This layer is exposed only in three outcrops (01_HLPT, 10_EFB, and 025_JJC; Figs. 5 and 6 and Appendix C). They are located in the north of the SH-II and in the west of the ST. The upper 7 m of the layer are visible, but its total thickness is unclear, as the lower boundary is not exposed (Fig. 6). The upper boundary of this layer is even, continuous, and very distinct. Its internal structure is simple and massive; i.e. no bedding or laminae are visible. The sediment is poorly consolidated. However, it is quite sticky and difficult to excavate with hand tools. The grain size proportions range from 39 % to 52 % sand, 37 % to 48 % silt, and 11 % to 15 % clay, based on three samples (Fig. 7). This layer contains abundant mollusc detritus, which is poorly oriented and mixed with the sediments without layering for identifying the palaeoflow direction.

4.2.2 Loamy sand layer (LS)

This layer is exposed in outcrops of the tablelands ST and SH-II (n = 16) (Fig. 6 and Appendix C). It is ca. 5–20 m thick. The internal structure of this layer is simple and massive. At some outcrops, thin layers (less than 1 cm) with iron coating are recorded at the upper 50 cm. The sediment is very poorly consolidated and easily to scratch by hand tools. The dominating grain size in the five samples is sand (62 %–88 %). Two of them show a slightly higher content of silt. The clay content is less than 10 % in all samples. The texture is in the range of sand to sandy loam (Fig. 7). This layer’s biological content is too low to be distinguished macroscopically.

4.2.3 Alternation of greyish silt loam and yellowish silt loam layers (SiC)

These sediments are exposed in outcrops (n = 27; see Fig. 6 and Appendix C) at the tablelands of the ST and SH-II. Their thickness is ca. 10–15 m. The layer is also visible on the tidal flat in outcrop 051_LK during the neap tide. The internal
Table 2. Results of grain size analyses.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Sand (%)</th>
<th>Silt (%)</th>
<th>Clay (%)</th>
<th>Sediment texture (Jahn et al., 2006)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LK 0-4 sand dune 0-1</td>
<td>Modern beach sand</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LK 0-4 sand dune 0-2</td>
<td>Modern beach sand</td>
<td>97.0</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLPT 0-7</td>
<td>SiL</td>
<td>45.3</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT0-3</td>
<td>SiL</td>
<td>51.0</td>
<td>37.9</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XNPW0-2</td>
<td>SiL</td>
<td>46.1</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDK0-4</td>
<td>SiL</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>50.2</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYGC-2</td>
<td>SiL</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>57.1</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XP-1</td>
<td>SiL</td>
<td>44.2</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XNPWH-2</td>
<td>SiL</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>42.2</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKD-3</td>
<td>SiL</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJC-2</td>
<td>SiL</td>
<td>38.8</td>
<td>44.0</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLPT 0-6</td>
<td>CSB</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKD-2</td>
<td>CSB</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>34.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLPT 0-5</td>
<td>SL-b</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT0-2</td>
<td>SL-b</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XNPW0-1</td>
<td>SL-b</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBD0-1</td>
<td>SL-b</td>
<td>50.3</td>
<td>42.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XNPWH-1</td>
<td>SL-b</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GJW-2</td>
<td>SL-b</td>
<td>32.8</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TKD-1</td>
<td>SL-b</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJC-1</td>
<td>SL-b</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NCT0-1</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>60.4</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDK0-1</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>79.0</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Loamy sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDK0-2</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>71.3</td>
<td>21.4</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDK0-3</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>23.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LK 0-1</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>89.3</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LK 0-2</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>89.9</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LK 0-3</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THST-1</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Loamy sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THST-2</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>32.4</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TYGC-1</td>
<td>SL-y</td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LK 0-5 yellowish loam</td>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>68.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LK 0-5 greyish silt loam</td>
<td>SiC</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>79.7</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>Silt loam/silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLPT 0-2 001</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>86.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLPT 0-3 001</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLPT 0-4 upper</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>85.6</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LK 0-6</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>88.2</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LK 0-7</td>
<td>LS</td>
<td>62.4</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>Sandy loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLPT 0-1 middle</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>44.3</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJC-A-1</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>48.0</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JJC-A-2</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>51.6</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>Loam</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

structure shows thin beds of (I) the yellowish sandy sediments and (II) greyish clayey and silty sediments (10–100 layers with ca. 5–10 cm thickness of each layer) (Fig. 6). Iron precipitation can be distinguished on the contacts between these thin beds. The sediments are generally poorly consolidated; the greyish sediments are stickier than the yellowish sediments. Silt is the dominating grain size in both sublayers (68 %–80 %), of which the yellowish one has a certain sand content (23 %, Fig. 7). No molluscs or other biological remains are distinguishable in this layer.

4.2.4 Sandy loam layer (SL)

This ca. 10–30 m thick layer is exposed in outcrops across the entire study area (n = 43) (Fig. 6 and Appendix C). The upper contact to the overlying gravel and cobble bed (CSB) is continuous and very distinct. However, the contact is difficult to distinguish when the CSB is missing, and this layer is overlain directly by the silty loamy cover layer (SiL) (Fig. 6 and Appendix A). The sedimentary texture of this layer shows significant vertical variations among the outcrops and is therefore subdivided into three categories. (I) The light
greyish sandy material is in the lower metres (SL-g, not sampled). (II) The yellowish sandy material is in the middle part (ca. 5–10 m), which contains more than 50% and – in two samples – nearly 90% sand (SL-y) (Fig. 7). The upper ca. 1 m consists of brownish sandy loamy substrate (SL-b) with more than 40% silt. The sediments in all three subtypes are very poorly consolidated; only the brownish sediments are stickier. The overall internal structure of SL-g and SL-b is massive. In the SL-y, iron coating in thin beds is visible. Debris of molluscs can be found in this layer; especially outcrop 035_YZS contains abundant detritus of molluscs.

4.2.5 The gravel and cobble bed (CSB)

Gravel and cobble beds are widely distributed in the Miaoli Tableland and exposed in 26 outcrops (Figs. 6 and 7 and Appendix C). Their thickness is varying according to the location: ca. 5–20 m in the SH-II, < 10 m in the ST, and < 2 m in the distal edge of the tableland. The sedimentary structure of the layer is mostly clast-supported but is matrix-supported in some outcrops at the distal edge of the tableland. Therefore the layer is classified as “coarse sand, with stones and boulders” (CSB) according to Jahn et al. (2006). In the clast-supported outcrops, the gravels are horizontally aligned with the long axes. The imbrication is poor; therefore, a precise analysis of flow directions is not possible. The gravels and cobbles consist of quartzite. Their sizes range from ca. 10 to 40 cm in the SH-II and from < 10 to ca. 30 cm in the ST. The gravels are well-rounded; their sphericity is moderate to platy.
Figure 7. Grain size compositions presented in a combined ternary diagram; the samples were grouped for the respective sediment layers. The sandy loam layer (SL) is subdivided into the lower SL-y and the upper SL-b. The clay content in the samples is relatively constant, while sand and silt change significantly. Sediment nomenclature after Jahn et al. (2006). For detailed grain size distribution curves, see Appendix B.

The matrix material in the two samples taken from outcrops in the distal-tableland area is loamy; it consists of ca. 80% sand and silt. The CSB in the distal tableland contains biological remains such as roots and wood debris.

The situation in the SH-I is different. Here the gravel and cobble bed is more than 20 m thick, and its elevation above the sea level is higher than in the SH-II and the ST. Its lower boundary is rarely visible. In the southernmost part of the SH-I (i.e., Fire Mountain), the gravel and cobble layer is inclined (estimated to be ca. 30°) to the east, which is in accordance with the records from the geological maps. Unfortunately, they are accessible only in few locations. The two studied outcrops show well-rounded quartz gravels and cobbles from 10–40 cm with poor imbrication.

4.2.6 Silty loamy cover layer (SiL)

The dusty cover layer can be found across the entire study area \( (n = 25) \) with a thickness from tens of centimetres up to 1.5 m (Fig. 6 and Appendix C). Its lower boundary, especially to the CSB, is very distinct. Its internal structure is simple and massive. It consists of a yellowish to reddish mixture of sand (35%–51%) and silt (30%–44%); clay occurs at 12%–20%. Only two of the nine samples have a silt content higher than 50% (Fig. 7). The sediment is very loose and contains abundant fresh roots of the modern vegetation.

4.3 Subtypes of the sedimentary succession

The described sedimentary succession is unevenly exposed in the study area. Most outcrops show only a limited number of the layers. However, layers in neighboured outcrops can be recognized and linked over most of the study area. Nevertheless, small variations in the sedimentary succession have been recognized. Three subtypes of the layer assemblage were observed (Figs. 5, 6, and 8 and Appendices A and C): (I) the complete succession, (II) the quasi-complete succession with a missing gravel and cobble layer, and (III) the presence of a thin gravel and cobble bed(s) on the surface (0–3 m).

Type I is widely distributed in larger tableland segments of the SH-II and the ST (Figs. 5 and 8). The outcrops comprise identical sedimentary successions, and the gravel bed (CSB) is getting thinner toward the coast. The complete succession is exposed with all layers only at two locations (001_HLPT and 010_EFB). However, also the smaller outcrops show an order of the layers, which is in accordance with the complete succession (Figs. 6 and 8).

The sedimentary succession in the SH-I is still unclear. The two studied outcrops contain a very thick gravel and cobble layer (> 20 m mainly). Subjacent sandy substrate is only exposed in outcrop 030_TYGC. Therefore, the sedimentary succession of the SH-I is named “quasi-type I”, because the sedimentary succession below the gravel unit is virtually unknown (Fig. 8).

Type II is found at the smaller tableland segments of the ST and the SH-II (Figs. 5 and 8). The outcrops include all the fine-grained sedimentary layers, but the overlying gravel and cobble layer is missing. In most outcrops in the SH-II, a sequence of LS–SiC–SL–SiL is exposed (Figs. 6 and 8). In contrast, the outcrops in the ST show a relatively thick layer of SL (ca. 20 m or more), while the L, LS, and SiC layers are rarely exposed.

Type III occurs in the ST and the SH-II along the fringe of the distal-tableland segments and at the coast (Figs. 5 and 8) at elevations below 50 m a.s.l. It includes SL, SiC, SiL, and L at the lower part and one or two thin (< 2 m) gravel and cobble bed(s) intercalated with sandy (SL) layers on the upper part to the surface (Figs. 6 and 8). The gravel bed(s) is getting thinner coastward.

Beside the gravel and cobble beds in the tableland segments, gravels and cobbles occur in the AL as well. For example, abundant gravels and cobbles are deposited in most of the fluvial paths especially in tributaries of the Tungxia River within areas with dendritic drainage patterns (Appendix A). According to the field observations, these gravels and cobbles are composed of quartzite, their shapes are round, but the components are poorly sorted.

5 Discussion

The general topography of the Miaoli Tableland inclines from the highland in the southeast towards the coast in the north and in the west. A clear spatial separation of three main areas can be distinguished: the Sedimentary Highlands in the southeastern part (SH-I) and in the northern part (SH-II) as
well as the Sedimentary Terraces (ST) in the western part. Especially the cliff-like topographic divide between the SH-I and the ST is a distinctive topographic landscape element in the Miaoli Tableland. This elevation-data-based topographical classification agrees in general with previous findings (Chang et al., 1998; Ota et al., 2006). However, the high resolution of the DEMs reveals that the topography of the tableland is much more disaggregated into larger and smaller segments than previously mapped. These segments cover most of the area of the Miaoli Tableland, and their surfaces can be tentatively connected or geometrically interpolated to an inferred palaeotopography in the respective areas (Figs. 2d and 3).

The internal sedimentary succession of the tableland segments is consistent in the SH-II and the ST areas but different in the SH-I. The individual strata of the SH-II and ST appear in the same order. The lower to middle part of the studied sedimentary sequence (L–LS–SiC–SL) represents an intertidal to supratidal deposition sequence, which has been reported by case studies in various locations globally (Goodbred and Saito, 2011; Dalrymple and Choi, 2007; Chen et al., 2014; Olariu et al., 2012; Buatois et al., 2012). This general tidal-dominant environment setting is consistent with the interpretation of the study of molluscs and foraminifera taken from this succession previously (Lee et al., 2002; Lin, 1969), although their stratigraphical context is not clear in detail. It is possibly due to sea-level changes (Shackleton, 2000) and long-term uplift of the Miaoli area (Ota et al., 2006; Yang et al., 2016). The distinct boundaries of each sedimentary layer may result from the interruption of sedimentation or the subsequent erosion, especially during the aforementioned regression periods (Davis, 2012).

Depending on the location, the studied sequence is topped by units of fluvial gravels and cobbles with different thickness. Previously, tablelands were identified by their flat surface and the occurrence of gravels and cobbles on their surface (Chang et al., 1998; Lin and Chou, 1978; Teng, 1979). We found that this is mainly the case for the larger and also some smaller segments, which represent sedimentation type I (Figs. 5 and 8 and Appendix A). On most of the small segments, the gravels and cobbles are missing (type II, Figs. 5 and 8 and Appendix A). However, the inferred palaeotopography implies a formerly continuously distributed gravel and cobble layer in the ST and the SH-II, where the smaller segments represent remnants, from which the gravels and cobbles were eroded. The fact that gravels and cobbles are also accumulated in the channels between segments with missing a gravel and cobble layer supports the idea that they were reworked from higher positions on the ST and the SH-II into the AL. This is new in comparison with Chang et al. (1998) and Ota et al. (2006), who did not assume a continuous gravel and cobble layer in the ST and SH-II area. In the distal segments near the coast, the gravels and cobbles tend to be smaller and occur in several thin layers (type III, Figs. 5 and 8 and Appendix A); thus we assume that the gravels and cobbles are reworked from the nearby sources (i.e. the proximal segments and remnants in the SH-II and ST).

The clast-supported structure and the moderate sorting of the fluvial deposits indicate that the deposition occurred rapidly, assumably during high-precipitation and discharge events. These characteristics are similar to the gravel and cobble beds in the other tablelands in northern Taiwan (Teng, 1996b). Although Chang et al. (1998) measured the cobble imbrication at several locations, we were not able to confirm their results due to the poor conservation of the sampling sites in recent decades. Moreover, the sphericity of the gravels and cobbles in our outcrops hinders the reconstruction of individual transport directions.

Regardless of the occurrence of gravels and cobbles, the whole area is covered by a layer of fine-grained material (SiL), which has been interpreted as the in situ lateritic soil formation before (Chang et al., 1998; Ho, 1988; Chang, 1988).
of the Miaoli Tableland can be summarized as follows: (Chang et al., 1998; Ota et al., 2006), the landscape evolution (e.g. the Tungxiao Anticline). They are not constrained by the geological or tectonic factors of the study area; thus the flow paths have developed freely, and that the lithology is basically consistent within the whole pattern. The formation of this pattern is supported by the fact are developed in the catchments with the dendritic drainage representing the alluvial plains (AL). The box-shaped valleys are formed by fluvial morphodynamic changes from linear incision to lateral erosion with gravel accumulation (Charlton, 2008) representing the alluvial plains (AL). The box-shaped valleys are developed in the catchments with the dendritic drainage pattern. The formation of this pattern is supported by the fact that the lithology is basically consistent within the whole study area; thus the flow paths have developed freely, and they are not constrained by the geological or tectonic factors (e.g. the Tungxiao Anticline).

Integrating the results of this study into previous concepts (Chang et al., 1998; Ota et al., 2006), the landscape evolution of the Miaoli Tableland can be summarized as follows:

- Tidal and coastal sediments were deposited in the mountain foreland during periods of different but generally high sea levels in the Taiwan Strait. They are visible as the fine-grained sediments in the present ST and SH-II areas. These sediments represent the bay sediments in the interpretation of Chang et al. (1998). Whether they were also deposited in the present SH-I area is unknown.

- Subsequently, gravels and cobbles were deposited in the foreland basin in the form of one or several alluvial fans, which made up the present SH-I. Chang et al. (1998) suggested that they covered parts of the coastal sediments in the SH-II area. If they were transported by a single event or by multiple independent events by the Daan River, Wumei (Xihu) River, and Houlong River is also unknown. The terrain of the SH-I was subsequently uplifted along the Tongluo Fault (Ota et al., 2006), and thus the alluvial fans were prevented from further gravel and cobbles accumulation.

- The ongoing uplift and the simultaneous incision of the SH-I induced the remobilization of the gravels and cobbles which were transported into the western and northern foreland of the SH-I, where they are accumulated as the present gravel and cobbles of the SH-II and the ST. Further uplift of the SH-I along the present escarpment between the SH-I and the ST, which was assumed to be an inferred thrust fault (Chang et al., 1998), separated both terrains, stopped the gravel and cobbles transport into the ST, and beheaded the valleys of the SH-I. The sediments in the ST area were slightly folded along the Tungxiao Anticline (Ota et al., 2006) during this terrain uplift. The folding may have enhanced the subsequent incision of the ST, where backward erosion is continuing in the upper and steeper channel sections. In this way, the gravels and cobbles are reworked again – from the ST surface into the AL channels and the (coastal) distal-tableland surfaces.

- The result of the rework is a cascade of gravel and cobbles transportation in the Miaoli Tableland: previously from the mountains to the Sedimentary Highlands, then to the Sedimentary Terraces, and recently to the Alluvial and the Coastal Plains.

6 Conclusion

This study gives new detailed insights into the surface morphology and the sedimentary sequences of the Miaoli Tableland. The new high-resolution mapping enables the reconstruction of the palaeotopography of the region. The presented classification of tableland segments is thereby inferred from a mathematical calculation and widely independent from a subjective interpretation.

From the newly reconstructed palaeosurfaces in the western and northern part of the tableland, we concluded that a quasi-continuous gravel and cobbles cover existed not only in the Sedimentary Highlands but also in the Sedimentary Terraces, which is new in comparison with previous interpreta-
tions (Chang et al., 1998; Ota et al., 2006). This means that the Sedimentary Terraces (ST) and the northern Sedimentary Highlands (SH-II) covered originally a wider area than previously mapped (Chang et al., 1998). Our interpretation of cascade-like gravel and cobbles rework implies that the main mass of gravels and cobbles was deposited originally in the southern Sedimentary Highlands (SH-I) and was reworked from there to the northern Sedimentary Highlands (SH-II) and the Sedimentary Terraces (ST).

Our sedimentological results suggest that the concept of the Lungkang Formation (Lin, 1963) or the Tûsyô/Tungxiao Formation (Chang, 1948; Makiyama, 1934, 1937) may be considered adequate stratigraphic terms to describe the tidal–fluvial–aeolian sediment succession of the uppermost 50–100 m in the northern and western part (SH-II and ST) of the Miaoli Tableland. Although absolute dating results are not available at this stage of research, the succession may represent one sedimentation cycle, which can be tentatively correlated with the last glacial cycle. Subsequent uplift brought the terrestrial sediments into a position above the Holocene sea-level high stand, provoking incision. The overall tableland morphology exhibits a stepwise sequence of sedimentation, uplift, and erosion in the area.

Fluvial incision created a characteristic drainage network with different patterns, depending on topographical parameters like the altitude and the location in the respective tableland areas but widely independent from the lithology in the Miaoli Tableland. The loose and easily erodible sediments enabled the formation of wide valley cross sections in the alluvial plains, which we tentatively named box-shaped valleys.

This study of terrace landforms in the frontal part of the mountain foreland in Taiwan has highlighted the possibility that a systematical terrain and sediment analysis can reveal new insights into the differentiated landform evolution in the Taiwanese foothills in the future.

### Appendix A: Field photos of the Miaoli Tableland

**Figure A1.** Overview on the Tungxiao River catchment (the ST area) seen from the SH-I terrace (location: 24°26′57.92″ N, 120°45′15.42″ E; facing northwest, 24 October 2018). The tableland segments are dissected by channels of the dendritic fluvial network, visible in the centre of the photo.

**Figure A2.** Example of type I succession in outcrop 001_HLPT (location: 24°35′45.30″ N, 120°48′24.01″ E; facing west, 3 April 2015). The outcrop is about 49 m high and located on the left bank of the Houlong River. It shows fine-grained coastal sediments in the lower and central part and fluvial gravels and cobbles in the upper part.
Figure A3. Example of type II succession in outcrop 022_ZG (location: 24°28′55.02″ N, 120°42′8.09″ E; facing west, 8 January 2017). The outcrop is about 20 m high and located in the Tungxiao River catchment. It is excavated by a small creak, which is located between the outcrop and the road in the foreground. It represents the remnant of a tableland segment with fine-grained coastal sediments (greyish sand in the lower part and brownish sand in the upper part). The succession is covered by the silty cover layer (SiL) directly. This cover layer is barely visible between the vegetation in the upper central part of the picture.

Figure A4. Panorama photo of an example of type III succession in outcrop 051_LK (location: 24°36′37.61″ N, 120°44′52.69″ E; facing southeast, 23 October 2018). The outcrop is about 9 m high and located at the coast between the estuaries of the Houlong River and the Wumei (Xihu) River. It represents the sedimentary succession of the distal-tableland segments as part of the SH-II. The outcrop shows fine-grained sandy sediments covered by gravels and cobbles and modern aeolian sand. The gravels and cobbles appear as a channel infill in the centre of the picture.

Appendix B: Grain size distribution curves of all the studied samples

Figure B1. Samples are subgrouped by the corresponding sedimentary layers. Two samples are taken from the modern beach (dune) sand for comparison with the sandy materials in other layers. The grain size fractions are after the Wentworth scale.
Appendix C: Columnar sections and pictures of the outcrops in the Miaoli Tableland

See supplementary data of file 001-HLPT to 057-CTK at https://doi.org/10.17169/refubium-31813 (Liu et al., 2021).
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